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Notation:
$x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, also use $y, \xi$ etc.
Scalar (inner, dot) product: $\langle x, y\rangle=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=u(\theta)=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \\
& v=u^{\perp}=u(\theta+\pi / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Radon transform:

$$
R f(u, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t u+s v) d s=f_{u}(t)
$$



Applications are well known.

Circular mean transform:

$$
\mathcal{M} f(\xi, r)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\xi+r u(\phi)) d \phi
$$



Models the data acquisition scheme in photoacoustic, also known as thermoacoustic, tomography that is currently being tested for possible clinical applications.

Typical assumptions are that $f$ has support in the unit disk and $\xi=u(\theta), 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$.

We are interested in reconstruction of $f$ in terms of the data $\mathcal{M} f(\xi, r), \xi \in \Xi$, where $\overline{\text { is some appropriate collection of }}$ detectors exterior to the unit disk. An important special case is $\equiv=S^{1}$ the unit circle, the boundary of the unit disk with data $\mathcal{M f}(u(\theta), r), 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$ 。

In the case $\overline{=}=S^{1}$ exact inversion formulas are known but, as in the case of the classical Radon transform, need to be regularized for numerical work.

Inversion formulas for $f$ in terms of the data $\mathcal{M} f(u(\theta), r)$, $0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$, were first published in
FHR $=$ D. Finch, M. Haltmeier, and Rakesh, Inversion of spherical means and the wave equation in even dimensions, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 68, no. 2, (2007), 392-412.

An alternate derivation and further generalizations can be found in Y. A. Antipov, R, Estrada, and B. Rubin, Inversion formulas for spherical means in constant curvature spaces, (2011) preprint.

A different inversion formula can be found in
L. A. Kunyansky, Explicit inversion formulae for the spherical mean Radon transform, Inv. Prob. 23, (2007), 373-383.

Our method is a adaptation of a variant of a classical summability procedure used for Radon transform data outlined in W. R. Madych, Summability and approximate reconstruction from Radon Transform data, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol 113 (1990), 189-219.

Recall the notion of a ridge function:

$$
H(x)=h(\langle x, u\rangle)
$$

If $f(x)$ has compact support

$$
\begin{aligned}
H * f(x) & =\int_{R^{2}} h(y) f(x-y) d y \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) R f(u,\langle x, u\rangle-t) d t \\
& =h * f_{u}(\langle x, u\rangle) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K(x)$ is a sum of ridge functions, i. e.

$$
K(x)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(\langle x, u(\theta)\rangle) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

then
(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
K * f(x) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) R f(u(\theta),\langle x, u(\theta)\rangle-t) d t\right\} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h * f_{u(\theta)}(\langle x, u(\theta)\rangle) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K$ is a an approximation of the identity then (1) gives rise to a reconstruction algorithm for $f$ in terms of its Radon transform data.

Remark: There is a formula for $h$ in terms of $K$ that can be explicitly evaluated in certain cases.

Typical examples:
(2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|x| \leq 1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
h(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|t| \leq 1 \\
1-|t| /\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

(3)

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(x)=\frac{3}{\pi} \begin{cases}1-|x| & \text { if }|x| \leq 1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
h(t)=\frac{3}{\pi} \begin{cases}1-\frac{\pi}{2}|t| & \text { if }|t| \leq 1 \\
1-t \arcsin (1 / t) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

(4) $K(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}$

$$
h(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Note that in all the above cases the family of functions parametrized by $\epsilon$

$$
K_{\epsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} K\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

are well known approximations of the identity as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The corresponding functions $h_{\epsilon}(t)$ of course, are given by

$$
h_{\epsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

We use the same philosophy to reconstruct $f$ from its circular mean transform data.
$G$ is radial with center $\xi$ :

$$
G(x)=g(|x-\xi|)
$$

If $f$ has compact support

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} G(x) f(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(r) f(\xi+r u(\theta)) r d \theta d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} g(r) \mathcal{M} f(\xi, r) r d r
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K(x, y)$ is a sum of radial functions in the variable $y$, i. e.

$$
K(x, y)=\int_{\equiv} k(x, \xi,|y-\xi|) d \mu(\xi)
$$

then
(5) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x, y) f(y) d y=\int_{\equiv}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} k(x, \xi, r) \mathcal{M} f(\xi, r) r d r\right\} d \mu(\xi)$.

If $f$ is sufficiently regular and has support in a region $\Omega$ and $K(x, y)$ is a good approximation of the identity in $y$ at each $x \in \Omega$ then then identity (5) represents an approximate reconstruction of $f$ in terms of the data $\mathcal{M} f(\xi, r), \xi \in \equiv$ and $r>0$.

Such a kernel $K(x, y)$ can be conveniently viewed as a family of functions in the $y$ variable parameterized by $x$, each member of which is a sum of radial functions with centers in $\overline{\text { E. }}$

As alluded to earlier, we will study the case
$\equiv=S^{1}=\{\xi=u(\theta): 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\}$ with $d \mu(\xi)=\frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}$ and $f$ supported in $B=\{x:|x|<1\}$.

Remark: I don't know how to solve

$$
G(y)=\int_{S^{1}} g(|y-u|) d \mu(u)
$$

for $g$ and $\mu$ in terms of $G$.
This leaves us with the problem of how to construct such $K(x, y)$ and $k(x, \xi,|y-\xi|)$ pairs?

Note that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\{|x-r u|-|y-r u|\}=\langle y-x, u\rangle
$$

This suggests that, roughly speaking, if the detector $\xi=r u$ is relatively far from $x$ and $y$ then $|x-\xi|-|y-\xi|$ looks like $\langle y-x, u\rangle$.

We know that

$$
\epsilon^{-2} K((y-x) / \epsilon)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\frac{\langle y-x, u(\theta)\rangle}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

is a good approximation of the identity at $x$ with an appropriate choice of $h$. i. e. one of the examples of $K, h$ pairs.

Hence, it is not unreasonable to expect that

$$
K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\frac{|x-u(\theta)|-|y-u(\theta)|}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

where $h$ comes from the ridge function representation of a kernel $K$, i. e. one of the examples (3) or (4), looks like a summability kernel or approximate identity at $x$. At least for $x$ and $y$ close to the origin.

Plots of $K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ for fixed $x$ and $\epsilon$ as a function of $y$. Here $h(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}$ as in example (4).


$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0.5
$$



$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0.125
$$



$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0.25
$$



$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0,0625
$$

More plots of $K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ for fixed $x$ and $\epsilon$ as a function of $y$ with the same $h(t)$.


$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0.0625
$$

$$
x=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}(1,1), \epsilon=0.0625 .
$$


$x=-\frac{7}{8 \sqrt{2}}(1,1), \epsilon=0.0625$.


Phantom and detectors.

We use a discretization of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|y|<1} K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon) f(y) d y \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{2} h_{\epsilon}(|x-u(\theta)|-r) \mathcal{M} f(u(\theta), r) r d r\right\} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reconstruction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(x) & =\frac{C}{M N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{M} h_{\epsilon}\left(\left|x-u_{\theta_{j}}\right|-r_{i}\right) \mathcal{M} f\left(u_{\theta_{j}}, r_{i}\right) r_{i}\right\} \\
h_{\epsilon}(t) & =\frac{\epsilon^{2}-t^{2}}{\left(\epsilon^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \epsilon=0.01, \quad M=299, \quad N=300
\end{aligned}
$$



Data and reconstruction.

These and similar numerical experiments suggest that $K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ is a summability kernel and a good approximation of the identity at $x$ for $|x|<1$ as a function of $y,|y|<1$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon$.

Further numerical experiments suggest that the set of detectors $\overline{ }$ need not be restricted to circles. For example

$$
K(x, y ; \epsilon)=C \sum_{\xi_{i} \in \equiv} h_{\epsilon}\left(\left|x-\xi_{i}\right|-\left|y+\xi_{i}\right|\right)
$$

will still be a good approximation of the identity at $x$ for $|x|<1$ as a function of $y,|y|<1$, for appropriate $\epsilon$ as long as, roughly speaking, the set of detectors $\equiv$ is a sufficiently dense set surrounding the unit disk. F. Filbir, R. Hielscher, and W.R. Madych, Reconstruction from circular and spherical mean data, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis 29, (2010), 111-120.

Is it true that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y|<1} K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon) f(y) d y=f(x)
$$

whenever $f$ is bounded, vanishes outside the unit disk, and is continuous at $x$ ?

To hopefully simplify the matter try working with

$$
K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\frac{|x-u(\theta)|^{2}-|y-u(\theta)|^{2}}{2 \epsilon}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

which is also a sum of radial functions in the variable $y$, seems pretty much like $K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)$, but the argument $|x-u(\theta)|^{2}-|y-u(\theta)|^{2}$ is algebraically easier to work with.

Note that $K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ can be re-expressed as

$$
K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\left\langle\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}, u(\theta)-\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rangle\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}
$$

or

$$
K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} h\left(\left\langle\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}, u(\theta)\right\rangle+\frac{|y|^{2}-|x|^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} .
$$

Plots of $K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ for fixed $x$ and $\epsilon$ as a function of $y$ with $h(t)$ as in (4).


$$
x=(0,0), \epsilon=0.0625
$$


$3 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right) \quad$ ก

$x=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}(1,1), \epsilon=0.0625$.


Comparison of the plots of $K_{1}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ and $K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon)$ for fixed $x$ and $\epsilon$ as a functions of $y$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{1} \text { at } x=-\frac{7}{8 \sqrt{2}}(1,1), \\
\epsilon=0.065 .
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{2} \text { at } x=-\frac{7}{8 \sqrt{2}}(1,1), \\
\epsilon=0.0625 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem: If $h$ is the function in example (4), that is

$$
h(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

then

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y|<1} K_{2}(x, y ; \epsilon) f(y) d y=c(x) f(x)
$$

where

$$
c(x)=\frac{\pi}{1-|x|^{2}}
$$

whenever $f$ is bounded, vanishes outside the unit disk, and is continuous at $x$.

This is a corollary of the following:
Lemma: If $h$ is the function in example (4) then

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(\langle z, u(\theta)-x\rangle) d \theta\right| \leq \frac{C}{1+|z|^{3}}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ where $C$ is a constant that depends only on $x$ when $|x|<1$.

## Proof of Lemma:

Use residues to to evaluate the integral and get

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(\langle z, u(\theta)-x\rangle) d \theta=c \operatorname{Re} \frac{\langle z, x\rangle+i}{\left((\langle z, x\rangle+i)^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

Follow this by several pages of algebraic manipulations together with applications of appropriate inequalities to get the desired result.

Note 1: The analytic nature of $h(t)$ and the change to $|x-\xi|^{2}-|y-\xi|^{2}$ allowed us to do this.

Note 2: Want argument which depends only on the integrability of

$$
K(x)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(\langle x, u(\theta)\rangle) d \theta
$$

over $R^{2}$.

Corollary 1 of Theorem: If $x$ is in the unit disk $B$, and $f$ is in $C^{2}(B)$ then
(6) $\frac{f(x)}{\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{-1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{2} a}\left\{M(r)+M\left(\sqrt{2 a^{2}-r^{2}}\right)-2 M(a)\right\} \frac{r}{\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}} d r\right. \\
\left.+\int_{\sqrt{2} a}^{\infty} M(r) \frac{r}{\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}} d r-\frac{M(a)}{a^{2}}\right\} d \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

where $M(r)=\mathcal{M} f(\xi, r)$ and $a=|x-u(\theta)|$.
Remark: $f$ in $C^{2}(B)$ is overkill. I suspect that $f$ in $L^{p}(B)$ for $p \geq p_{0}$ is sufficient.

Corollary 2 of Theorem: If $\Omega$ is the unit disk, $x$ is in $\Omega$, and $f$ is in $C^{2}(\Omega)$ then $f(x)=$

$$
\frac{1-|x|^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{2} \log \left(\left|r^{2}-|x-u(\theta)|^{2}\right|\right) \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{1}{2 r} \frac{d \mathcal{M} f(u(\theta), r)}{d r}\right) d r\right\} d \theta
$$

Remark 1: Analogues of the Theorem and Corollaries are valid when the unit disk is replaced by a more general elliptical region and the set of detectors $\overline{\text {, currently a circle, is replaced by a more }}$ general ellipse. In this case $d \mu(\xi)$ is not the usual arclength.
Remark 2: The inversion formula of the Corollary should be compared with the inversion formula in FHR.

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2} \log \left(\left|r^{2}-|x-u(\theta)|^{2}\right|\right) \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \mathcal{M} f(u(\theta), r)}{d r}\right) d r d \theta
$$

Analogous results are valid for spherical mean transforms in higher dimensions $n$.

In fact, in the case $n=3$ a stronger version of the Theorem is valid. The function $h$ need not be analytic. It suffices that

$$
K(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} h(\langle x, u\rangle) d \sigma(u)
$$

be integrable over $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

