A reconstruction strategy in 3D Compton scattering imaging

Gaël Rigaud

Institute of Mathematics University of Würzburg, Germany

Conference on **Modern Challenges in Imaging** In the Footsteps of Allan MacLeod Cormack







The Radon transform over a family of curves – A.M. Cormack

A.M. Cormack (1981) The Radon Transform on a Family of Curves in the Plane, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp. 325-330.

Cormack

• studied the Radon transform along α and β curves:

$$\mathfrak{C}_lpha(m{p},arphi)=\left\{x=(r, heta)\in\mathbb{R}^2\ :\ r^lpha\cos(lpha(heta-arphi))=m{p}^lpha,\ | heta-arphi|\leq\pi/2lpha
ight\}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_eta(\pmb{p}, arphi) = \left\{ x = (r, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \; : \; \pmb{p}^eta \cos(eta(heta - arphi)) = r^eta, \; | heta - arphi| \leq \pi/2eta
ight\}$$

$$\alpha = 1$$



The Radon transform over a family of curves – A.M. Cormack

A.M. Cormack (1981) The Radon Transform on a Family of Curves in the Plane, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp. 325-330.

Cormack

• studied the Radon transform along α and β curves:

$$\mathfrak{L}_lpha(\pmb{p}, arphi) = \left\{ x = (\pmb{r}, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \; : \; \pmb{r}^lpha \cos(lpha(heta - arphi)) = \pmb{p}^lpha, \; | heta - arphi| \leq \pi/2lpha
ight\}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_eta(\pmb{p},arphi) = \left\{x = (r, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \; : \; \pmb{p}^eta \cos(eta(heta-arphi)) = r^eta, \; | heta-arphi| \leq \pi/2eta
ight\}$$





The Radon transform over a family of curves – A.M. Cormack

A.M. Cormack (1981) The Radon Transform on a Family of Curves in the Plane, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp. 325-330.

Cormack

• studied the Radon transform along α and β curves:

$$\mathfrak{L}_lpha(m{p},arphi)=\left\{x=(r, heta)\in\mathbb{R}^2\ :\ r^lpha\cos(lpha(heta-arphi))=m{p}^lpha,\ | heta-arphi|\leq\pi/2lpha
ight\}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_eta(\pmb{p},arphi) = \left\{x = (r, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \; : \; \pmb{p}^eta \cos(eta(heta-arphi)) = r^eta, \; | heta-arphi| \leq \pi/2eta
ight\}$$





The Radon transform over a family of curves – A.M. Cormack

A.M. Cormack (1981) The Radon Transform on a Family of Curves in the Plane, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp. 325-330.

Cormack

• studied the Radon transform along α and β curves:

 $\beta =$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha}(\pmb{\rho},\varphi) = \left\{ x = (r,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ r^{\alpha} \cos(\alpha(\theta-\varphi)) = \pmb{p}^{\alpha}, \ |\theta-\varphi| \leq \pi/2\alpha \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}_eta(\pmb{p},arphi) = \left\{x = (r, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \; : \; \pmb{p}^eta \cos(eta(heta-arphi)) = r^eta, \; | heta-arphi| \leq \pi/2eta
ight\}$$



A family of circular-arcs

G. Rigaud (2013) On the inversion of the RT on a generalized Cormack-type class of curves, Inverse Problems, 29, 115010.

Definition : C-curves [R'13]

We define the class of curves, C by

$$\mathfrak{C}(p,\theta) = \{x : h_1(p) = h_2(x) \cdot \theta\}$$

with h_1 an odd C^{∞} -diffeomorphism and h_2 is a C^{∞} -diffeomorphic function acting on the radial component of x, r = |x|, with

$$h_2(r) = \left\{ rac{2sr}{r^2 - ks^2}, rac{2sr}{1 - ks^2r^2}
ight\} \qquad s,k \in \mathbb{R}.$$



A family of circular-arcs

G. Rigaud (2013) On the inversion of the RT on a generalized Cormack-type class of curves, Inverse Problems, 29, 115010.

Definition : C-curves [R'13]

We define the class of curves, C by

$$\mathfrak{C}(p,\theta) = \{x : h_1(p) = h_2(x) \cdot \theta\}$$

with h_1 an odd C^{∞} -diffeomorphism and h_2 is a C^{∞} -diffeomorphic function acting on the radial component of x, r = |x|, with

$$h_2(r) = \left\{ rac{2sr}{r^2 - ks^2}, rac{2sr}{1 - ks^2r^2}
ight\} \qquad s, k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

generate circular-arcs:







- S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
- Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required





- **G. Rigaud** (2017) Compton Scattering Tomography: Feature Reconstruction and Rotation-Free Modality *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 10(4), 2217-2249.
 - S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
 - Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required







- S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
- Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required







- S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
- Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required







- S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
- Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required





Illustration in 2D : A rotation-free modality

G. Rigaud (2017) Compton Scattering Tomography: Feature Reconstruction and Rotation-Free Modality *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 10(4), 2217-2249.

- S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
- Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required





- **G. Rigaud** (2017) Compton Scattering Tomography: Feature Reconstruction and Rotation-Free Modality *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 10(4), 2217-2249.
 - S is fixed and a detector ring surronds the object.
 - Advantage: No Rotation of the source and no collimation required





Physical interactions between photons and matter



- a_E(x) lineic attenuation coefficient at energy E
- n_e(x) electron density

1st scattering

A photon beam flying in a closed domain Ω with energy ${\it E}$ satisfies the stationary transport equation

$$\vartheta \cdot \nabla_x I(x, \vartheta) + a_E(x)I(x, \vartheta) = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega$$

Beer-Lambert law

$$\frac{I(D)}{I(S)} = e^{-\int_{S \to D} a_E} =: e^{-\mathcal{R}a_E}$$

The attenuation factor stands for a sum of phenomena which hinder the propagation of the traveling photons:

$$a_E(x) \approx E^{-3} \mu_{PE}(x) + \sigma(E) n_e(x)$$

- Photoelectric absorption (low energy)
- Compton scattering (high energy)



Physical interactions between photons and matter



- *a_E(x)* lineic attenuation coefficient at energy *E*
- n_e(x) electron density

1st scattering

A photon beam flying in a closed domain Ω with energy ${\it E}$ satisfies the stationary transport equation

$$\vartheta \cdot \nabla_x I(x, \vartheta) + a_E(x)I(x, \vartheta) = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega$$

Beer-Lambert law

$$\frac{I(D)}{I(S)} = e^{-\int_{S \to D} a_E} =: e^{-\mathcal{R}a_E}$$

The attenuation factor stands for a sum of phenomena which hinder the propagation of the traveling photons:

$$a_E(x) \approx E^{-3} \mu_{PE}(x) + \sigma(E) n_e(x)$$

- Photoelectric absorption (low energy)
- Compton scattering (high energy)

4 / 24







$$E_{\omega} = \frac{E_0}{1 + \frac{E_0}{511 \text{keV}}(1 + \cos \omega)}$$

Compton-Effect in CT

- ightarrow 70 to 80 % of the emitted radiation
- → treated as noise

How is it exploited today?

- → Compton camera
- \rightarrow multi-energy CT

Why to use it?

- \rightarrow substantial part of the radiation (>70%)
- \rightarrow development of the technology of detectors
- \rightarrow a new dimension to explore.



An informative spectrum

Compton Formula

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511 keV}(1+\cos\omega)}$$

How to exploit it as imaging agent?

 \rightarrow Compton scattering transforms a monochromatic spectrum (S) into a polychromatic spectrum (D).

 $\rightarrow\,$ Use the spectrum of the measured photons as a vector of information.





 E_0

 E_0









- **2** 3D CSI Modeling the second-order scattering g_2
- **3** Reconstruction strategy and Results
- 4 Smoothness properties





- S: monochromatic ionising source at *E*₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511keV}(1+\cos\omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega \}$$
 stands for
 $n = 2$ (2) circular-arc(s),





- S: monochromatic ionising source at *E*₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511 keV}(1+\cos \omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega \}$$
 stands for
 $n = 2$ (2) circular-arc(s),





- S: monochromatic ionising source at *E*₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511 keV}(1+\cos \omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega \}$$
 stands for
 $n = 2$ (2) circular-arc(s),



Geometry of the first-order scattered radiation



- S: monochromatic ionising source at E₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega} = \frac{E_0}{1 + \frac{E_0}{511 \text{keV}} (1 + \cos \omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{ \mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega \}$$
 stands for
 $n = 2$ (2) circular-arc(s),

$$n = 3$$
 a spindle torus.



Geometry of the first-order scattered radiation



1st scattering

- S: monochromatic ionising source at E₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511keV}(1+\cos\omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{\mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega\}$$
 stands for

$$n = 2$$
 (2) circular-arc(s),





 $\mathfrak{T}(\omega', \mathbf{v})$

8 / 24



Geometry of the first-order scattered radiation



- S: monochromatic ionising source at *E*₀.
- D: energy-sensitive detector

$$E_{\omega}=rac{E_{0}}{1+rac{E_{0}}{511 keV}(1+\cos \omega)}$$

•
$$\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \{\mathsf{M} : \widehat{\mathsf{SMD}} = \omega\}$$
 stands for

$$n = 2$$
 (2) circular-arc(s),





Data interpretation

The number of photons scattered **only once** at M(x) and detected at D(d) with energy E_{ω} satisfies:

$$\partial_{\mathbf{x}} g_1 = \frac{\mathit{I}_0 \mathit{r}_e^2}{4} \mathit{P}(\omega) \frac{\mathit{A}_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \mathit{A}_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} \mathit{n}_e(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

with $P(\omega)$ the Klein-Nishina probability and

$$A_{\omega}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \exp\left(-\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|\int_{0}^{1}a_{E_{\omega}}\left(\mathbf{x}+trac{(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}
ight)dt
ight).$$

Assuming D to be a point detector,

$$g_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}, \omega) \approx \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{T}} \frac{A_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) A_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} n_e(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$





Data interpretation

The number of photons scattered **only once** at M(x) and detected at D(d) with energy E_{ω} satisfies:

$$\partial_{\mathbf{x}} g_1 = \frac{l_0 r_e^2}{4} P(\omega) \frac{A_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) A_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} n_e(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

with $P(\omega)$ the Klein-Nishina probability and

$$A_{\omega}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \exp\left(-\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|\int_{0}^{1}a_{E_{\omega}}\left(\mathbf{x}+trac{(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}
ight)dt
ight).$$

Assuming D to be a point detector,

$$g_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}, \omega) \approx \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{T}} \frac{A_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) A_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} n_e(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$





Data interpretation

The number of photons scattered **only once** at M(x) and detected at $D(\mathbf{d})$ with energy E_{ω} satisfies:

$$\partial_{\mathbf{x}} g_1 = \frac{\mathit{I}_0 \mathit{r}_e^2}{4} \mathit{P}(\omega) \frac{\mathit{A}_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \mathit{A}_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} \mathit{n}_e(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

with $P(\omega)$ the Klein-Nishina probability and

$$A_{\omega}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \exp\left(-\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|\int_{0}^{1}a_{E_{\omega}}\left(\mathbf{x}+trac{(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}
ight)dt
ight).$$

Assuming D to be a *point* detector,

$$g_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}, \omega) \approx \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{T}} \frac{A_0(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) A_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{d}\|^2} n_e(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

(weighted) circular/torical Radon transforms.



Gaël RIGAUD



Radon transform on spindle tori

G. Rigaud and B. Hahn (2018) 3D Compton scattering imaging and contour reconstruction for a class of Radon transforms *Inverse Problems* 34 (2018) 075004 (22pp).

First-order scattering in 3D CSI [R. and Hahn (2018)]

Let $n_e \in L^2(\Omega)$ the electron density compactly supported on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The number of detected (first-order) scattered photons with energy E_{ω} , g, is written

$$g_1(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d},\omega) = \mathcal{T}n_e(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d},\omega) := \int\limits_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathfrak{T}(\omega,\mathbf{d},\mathbf{s})} rac{A_0(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{s}|^2} rac{A_\omega(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{d}|^2} n_e(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The phase function of the manifold $\mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$ is given by

$$\omega = \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{\|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|^2 - ((\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}) \cdot (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}))^2}}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}) \cdot (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}) - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|^2}\right) \triangleq \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s}).$$



In 3D one can design the same concept as in 2D with various architectures:

- with detectors on a sphere, (a)
- with detectors on a cylinder, (b)
- with detectors on two planes.





$$\cos\omega_1 + \cos\omega_2 = 2 - mc^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_{\mathsf{d}}} - \frac{1}{E_0}\right)$$



$$\cos\omega_1 + \cos\omega_2 = 2 - mc^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_{\mathsf{d}}} - \frac{1}{E_0}\right)$$





$$\cos \omega_1 + \cos \omega_2 = 2 - mc^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_d} - \frac{1}{E_0} \right)$$

August 9th 2019



$$\cos\omega_1 + \cos\omega_2 = 2 - mc^2 \left(\frac{1}{E_{\rm d}} - \frac{1}{E_0}\right)$$



Characterizing the intersection



$$\mathbf{y}_{\cap} \in \mathfrak{C}(\omega_1, \mathbf{x}) \cap \mathfrak{T}(\omega_2, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d})$$

can be represented using parameters ($\omega_1,\varphi)\in [0,\pi]\times[0,2\pi]$ by

$$\mathbf{y}_{\cap} = \mathbf{x} + r_{\cap} R_2 R_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} \sin \omega_1 \cos \varphi \\ \sin \omega_1 \sin \varphi \\ \cos \omega_1 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{if } r_{\cap} > 0.$$

with

$$r_{\cap} := \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{x}\| \left(z_{\cap} - rac{\sqrt{1 - z_{\cap}^2}}{\tan \omega_2}
ight).$$

where

$$z_{\cap} := R_1(3,1) \sin \omega_1 \cos \varphi + R_1(3,2) \sin \omega_1 \sin \varphi + R_1(3,3) \cos \omega_1$$

Gaël RIGAUD



An integral representation

Analytical formulation of g_2 [R'19]

Considering an electron density function $n_e(\mathbf{x})$ with compact support Ω , a monochromatic source \mathbf{s} with energy E_0 as well as a detector \mathbf{d} both located outside Ω . Then, the number of detected photons scattered twice arriving with an energy E_d are given by

$$g_2(\mathbf{d}, E_{\mathbf{d}}) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} w_2(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_{\cap}, \mathbf{d}, \omega_1, \varphi) n_e(\mathbf{x}) n_e(y_{\cap}) \mathrm{d}S_{\cap}(\omega_1, \varphi) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

with the physical factors symbolized by

$$w_2(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_{\cap},\mathbf{d},\omega_1,\varphi) = A_{E_0}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})A_{E_{\omega_1}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_{\cap})A_{E_{\omega_2}}(\mathbf{y}_{\cap},\mathbf{d})$$

and the differential form of the intersection given by

$$\mathrm{d}S_{\cap}(\omega_1,\varphi) = r_{\cap}\sqrt{\sin^2\omega_1(r_{\cap}^2 + (\partial_{\omega_1}r_{\cap})^2) + (\partial_{\varphi}r_{\cap})^2} \,\,\mathrm{d}\omega_1\mathrm{d}\varphi.$$



2nd scattering

2 points spread function - Comparison with Monte-Carlo data





How to invert $T n_e = g_1$?

$$\mathcal{T}n_e(\omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) = \int\limits_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})} w(\mathbf{x}, \omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) n_e(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

How to recover n_e ?

- Closed-form inversion formulae are unknown.
- Iterative algorithms (Landweber, Kaczmarz, etc) are expensive.

What about the contours n_e ?

1st scattering

- Microlocal analysis states the singularities of n_e are preserved through the data (Quinto, Webber and Holman 17).
- Beylkin (1984) proposed a study of the generalized Radon transform on a family of manifold and a class of reconstruction operators.



G. Beylkin (1984) The inversion problem and applications of the generalized Radon transform, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 37, 579–599.



P. Kuchment et al. (1995) On local tomography, Inverse Problems, 11, 571–589.



T. Quinto, V. Krishnan (2015) Microlocal Analysis in Tomography, in Handbook of Mathematical Methods in Imaging, 2e, Book editor: Otmar Scherzer.

J. Webber, S. Holman (2017) Microlocal analysis of a spindle transform, arXiv.



An extension of Beylkin's results

G. Rigaud and B. Hahn (2018) 3D Compton scattering imaging and contour reconstruction for a class of Radon transforms *Inverse Problems* 34 (2018) 075004 (22pp).

Given the generalized 3D Radon transform along $\phi \in \mathbb{M}$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{c}f(p,\theta) = \int_{\Omega} c(\mathbf{x},p,\theta) f(x) \delta(p-\phi(\mathbf{x},\theta))d\mathbf{x}, \quad (p,\theta) \in \Pi \times \Theta,$$

and defining the following backprojection operator

$$\mathcal{R}_b^*g(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Theta} b(\mathbf{y}, heta) \; g(\phi(\mathbf{y}, heta), heta) \; h(\mathbf{y}, heta) d heta \quad ext{for} \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$$

Theorem [R. and Hahn (2018)]

Given $\mathcal{R}_c f = g$ with $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $c(\cdot)$ being a strictly positive C^{∞} smooth function then,

$$\mathcal{K}f := \frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \mathcal{R}_b^* \partial_p^2 g = f + \mathcal{E}f$$

with $b(\mathbf{y}, \theta) = (c(\mathbf{y}, \phi(\mathbf{y}, \theta), \theta))^{-1}$ and \mathcal{E} a smoothing integral operator.

UD Augi





Contours reconstruction from





• Our problem?

$$g_1(\omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) = \mathcal{T}n_e(\omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{T}(\omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})} w(\mathbf{x}, \omega, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})n_e(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$$

is a non-linear mapping in n_e (w depends on n_e) since

$$a_E(x) \approx E^{-3} \mu_{PE}(x) + \sigma(E) n_e(x).$$

Continuity of g_1 [R'19]

Denoting by \mathcal{T}_d , the restriction of \mathcal{T} to one detector d and a given source s, then $\mathcal{T}_d : L_2(\Omega) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous

• Idea: approximate g₁ by

$$\mathcal{T}(f, n_e)(\omega, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{W} n_e(\mathbf{x}, \omega, \mathbf{v}) \ f(\mathbf{x}) \ \delta(\omega - \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})) \ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

with $n_e \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ such that $\|f - n_e\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le \epsilon \ll 1$.

19 / 24



Representation as Fourier integral operators



G. Rigaud (2019) 3D Compton scattering imaging: study of the spectrum and contour reconstruction, *arXiv:1908.03066*

Smoothness property of g_1 [R'19]

Let $n_e \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ given with Ω an open subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then the operator $\mathcal{T}(\cdot, n_e)$ is a Fourier integral operator of order -1.

Smoothness property of g_2 [R'19]

Let $n_e \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ given with Ω an open subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then, under some assumptions on the phase

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{d}) := \psi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) + \cos\left(\cot^{-1}\phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{d})
ight),$$

 g_2 can be understood as a Fourier integral operator of order $-rac{l}{a}$.

F. Treves (1980) Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators, Plenum Press, New York, The University Series in Mathematics.

Gaël RIGAUD















Outlook and Challenges

We learned

- how to model the first- and second-order scattering
- that the contours of the density can be extracted from the spectrum

Now we need

- better estimates for the smoothness properties
- to deal with limited data problems in CSI
- to deal with the polychromatic case
- iterative techniques



Outlook and Challenges

We learned

- how to model the first- and second-order scattering
- that the contours of the density can be extracted from the spectrum

Now we need

- better estimates for the smoothness properties
- to deal with limited data problems in CSI
- to deal with the polychromatic case
- iterative techniques
- \Rightarrow For a start: modified OSEM algorithm applied only on g_1



3D Compton scattering imaging

Gaël RIGAUD



Some literature



G. Rigaud (2013) On the inversion of the RT on a generalized Cormack-type class of curves, Inverse Problems, 29, 115010.



G. Rigaud (2017) Compton Scattering Tomography: Feature Reconstruction and Rotation-Free Modality *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 10(4), 2217-2249.



G. Rigaud and B. Hahn (2018) 3D Compton scattering imaging and contour reconstruction for a class of Radon transforms *Inverse Problems* 34 (2018) 075004 (22pp).



G. Rigaud and B. Hahn (2019) Reconstruction Algorithm for 3D Compton scattering imaging with incomplete data Submitted



G. Rigaud (2019) 3D Compton scattering imaging: study of the spectrum and contour reconstruction, *arXiv:1908.03066*

Thank you for your attention

Questions ?