# Electrical Impedance Tomography: Modern advances and challenges

#### Jennifer Mueller

Department of Mathematics and School of Biomedical Engineering Colorado State University

Modern Challenges in Imaging in the Footsteps of Allan Cormack



















Modern Advances

Clinical results

#### Collaborations and support







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの







## Electrical Impedance Tomography

Low frequency, low amplitude current is applied on electrodes.



The voltage is measured and the conductivity and permittivity distributions inside are computed with mathematical algorithms.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

The inverse problem is to determine these distributions from the voltage measurements on the electrodes.

The plotted conductivity and permittivity distributions results in images.

#### Medical Applications of EIT

EIT has a potentially important niche to fill:

- High temporal resolution: 50 frames/s is acheivable
- Continuous/ as needed patient monitoring
- Situations where CT and MRI are inaccessible

#### Medical Applications of EIT

EIT has a potentially important niche to fill:

- High temporal resolution: 50 frames/s is acheivable
- Continuous/ as needed patient monitoring
- Situations where CT and MRI are inaccessible

Examples:

- Patients with spinal cord or head injury often cannot be moved to the CT scanner
- Continuous monitoring
- Ambulances or remote locations
- During pulmonary procedures

#### Some Medical Applications of EIT

- Monitoring ventilation and perfusion in ARDS patients
- Diagnosis of atelectasis, pnuemothorax, lung collapse and hyperdistension, and pleural effusion
- Visualization and quantitative measures from pulmonary function tests (PFT's)
- Identifying regions of obstruction or consolidation in children with cystic fibrosis (CF)

#### Some Medical Applications of EIT

- Monitoring ventilation and perfusion in ARDS patients
- Diagnosis of atelectasis, pnuemothorax, lung collapse and hyperdistension, and pleural effusion
- Visualization and quantitative measures from pulmonary function tests (PFT's)
- Identifying regions of obstruction or consolidation in children with cystic fibrosis (CF)

In the ICU:

- Patients with spinal cord or head injury often cannot be moved to the CT scanner
- Small pneumothoraces and atelectasis are not visible with portable x-rays

#### **Governing Equations**

Let 
$$\gamma(x) = \sigma(x) + i\omega\epsilon(x)$$
. Then $abla \cdot (\gamma(x)
abla u(x)) = \mathbf{0}, \quad x \in \Omega$ 

Knowledge of all voltage patterns on the boundary arising from all possible current density patterns on the boundary:

$$R_{\gamma}: \gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} \to u|_{\partial \Omega}$$
 Neumann-to-Dirichlet map

$$\Lambda_{\gamma}: u|_{\partial\Omega} o \gamma rac{\partial u}{\partial 
u}|_{\partial\Omega}$$

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

#### **III-posedness**

EIT is an ill-posed problem since the solution does not depend continuously on the data  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ .

This means given any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , there exist conductivity distributions  $\sigma_1(z)$  and  $\sigma_2(z)$  such that

$$\|\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_2}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} < \delta$$

but

$$\|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} > \epsilon.$$

This is evident in

- The classic example by Alessandrini
- Analysis of simulated or experimental data

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Alessandrini's example

Consider the two conductivity distributions on the unit disk  $\Omega$ 

$$\sigma_1(r,\theta) = 1, \qquad \sigma_2(r,\theta) = \begin{cases} 1+A, & 0 \le r \le R \\ 1, & R < r \le 1 \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma_1 \nabla u_1) = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \qquad \nabla \cdot (\sigma_2 \nabla u_2) = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega u_1|_{\delta\Omega} = \phi \qquad \qquad u_2|_{\delta\Omega} = \phi$$



#### Alessandrini's example

The solution of the forward problem can be found by separation of variables and the current on the boundary can be computed (by hand).

As a result, the difference of the DN maps applied to  $\phi$  is

$$(\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_2})\phi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| \left(\frac{-2AR^{2|n|}}{2 + A(1 - R^{2|n|})}\right)\phi_n e^{in\theta}$$

#### Alessandrini's example

#### Since

$$\left|\frac{-2AR^{2|n|}}{2+A(1-R^{2|n|})}\right| \leq AR,$$

we have the bound

$$\|\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_2}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq AR,$$

which can be made arbitrarily small, depending on the choice of R. However, independent of R,

$$\|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = A,$$

which can be chosen greater than  $\epsilon$ .

#### An example from simulated data

Consider two phantoms: One representing healthy lungs and the other with a pneumothorax (ptx) in one lung:



At right are the first three voltages arising from trignometric CPs on 32 electrodes with 1 mA current amplitude



200

#### An example from simulated data



This is the difference in the DN maps for the two cases (*z* axis scale is  $10^{-4}$ )



590

#### **Further difficulties**

In addition to the inherent ill-posedness of the problem:

- Measurements are finite precision and contain noise
- There is uncertainty/error in the electrode placement
- The domain shape may be imperfectly known/changing
- The skin-electrode effect (contact impedance) must be modeled

These provide challenges and opportunities for mathematicians!

#### **Reconstruction Algorithms**

Existing Reconstruction Algorithms fall into several categories:

- Least-squares algorithms
- Statistical inversion
- Linearization algorithms
- D-bar methods

State of the art typically includes modeling of errors, inclusion of priors, and attention to real-time capabilities

#### Least-squares Algorithms

Iteratively solve

$$\min_{\sigma_i} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{U}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\sigma}_{pr}\|_2^2$$

The forward problem must be solved at each iteration



Describe the conductivity as a vector of piecewise constant values over the N mesh elements

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n \chi(E_n)$$

State of the art: The size of the FEM mesh can be reduced by applying the approximation error theory [Kaipio and Somersalo, 2004], using Bayesian modeling to treat approximation and modeling errors.

#### Least-squares Algorithms

Using the generalized Tikhonov regularization theory

$$F(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_m^q - \phi_e^q(\rho))^T (\phi_m^q - \phi_e^q(\rho)) + \lambda^2 (\rho - \rho^*)^T L^T L(\rho - \rho^*)$$

Statistical priors such as an anatomical atlas can be used in the regularization, replacing it by

$$\gamma^{2}(\rho-\bar{\rho}_{sw})^{T} \Gamma_{sw}^{-1} (\rho-\bar{\rho}_{sw}) + \lambda^{2}(\rho-\bar{\rho}_{sw})^{T} F^{T} F (\rho-\bar{\rho}_{sw})$$

 $\bar{\rho}_{sw}$  is the expected values vector,  $\Gamma_{sw}$  is the covariance matrix of the anatomical atlas. Assume the probability density function of the resistivity distribution in the swine thorax,  $\pi(\rho_{sw})$ , can be described as a Gaussian distribution:

$$\pi(\rho_{sw}) \propto \boldsymbol{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}(\rho - \bar{\rho}_{sw})^T \, \Gamma_{sw}^{-1} \, (\rho - \bar{\rho}_{sw})} \tag{1}$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

#### Least-squares Algorithms

This was demonstrated in [Camargo, 2013] using a set of 39 CT scans of pig chests from 25 animals. For each CT scan, 5 images at different levels were used (center,  $\pm$ 20mm and  $\pm$ 40mm), generating a 3-dimensional image. Five different tissues – bones, aerated lungs, atelectasis, heart and muscles – were identified according to their characteristics concerning grey levels.



## A Gaussian distribution of resistivity was assigned to each segmented tissue of the tomographic images.

Source: Erick D.L.B. Camargo, In: A review of electrical impedance tomography in lung applications: Theory and algorithms for absolute images, Martins et al, Annual Reviews in Control, 2019

#### Gauss-Newton



Top: Five CT scan slices of a pig ventilated with PEEP of  $5cmH_2O$ . The aorta is marked in light red. Bottom: EIT resistivity images computed with the G-N method using an anatomical atlas. (Scale 1.5  $\leq \rho \leq 3.5\Omega - m$ )

Source: Erick D.L.B. Camargo, In: A review of electrical impedance tomography in lung applications: Theory and

algorithms for absolute images, Martins et al, Annual Reviews in Control, 2019

#### Kalman filter



Human in vivo resistivity estimation using dual estimation with the unscented Kalman filter in parallel with the parameters in a two compartment evolution model of lung mechanics. Top: representative images from inspiration (I) and expiration (E). Bottom: average resistivity in each lung as a function of time. Source: Fernando Moura, In: A review of electrical impedance tomography in lung applications: Theory and algorithms for absolute images, Martins et al, Annual Reviews in Control, 2019

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Calderón's method

Calderón's method solves the linearized problem by direct inversion:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}(k) &= -\frac{1}{2|k|^2} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{\pi i(k\cdot x) + \pi(a\cdot x)} \Lambda_{\gamma}(e^{\pi i(k\cdot x) - \pi(a\cdot x)}) ds + R(k) \\ &= \hat{F}(k) + R(k), a, z \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } |a| = |z| \text{ and } a \cdot z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Truncation of the integral in the inverse Fourier transform regularizes the solution R=0.0075 R=0.009



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### Calderón's method

Calderón's method with a second order correction term and priors improves spatial resolution

Images computed by Kwancheol Shin, CSU

#### General Overview: D-bar Methods for EIT

\* D-bar reconstruction methods capitalize on the direct relationship between the conductivity and CGO solutions to a PDE related to the inverse conductivity problem

$$\Lambda_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \psi(z,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}(k) \longrightarrow \mu(z,k) \longrightarrow \sigma(z)$$

They are

- Nonlinear
- Mesh independent
- Trivially parallelizable

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]

## $\Lambda_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \psi(z,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}(k) \longrightarrow \mu(z,k) \longrightarrow \sigma(z)$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]



#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]



#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]

Change of variables:  

$$q(z) = \Delta \sqrt{\sigma(z)} / \sqrt{\sigma(z)}, \quad \psi(z) = \sqrt{\sigma(z)} u(z)$$

Schrödinger Eqn: 
$$(-\Delta + q)\psi = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

Lippmann-Schwinger Eqn:  $\mu = 1 - g_k * q\mu$  where  $\mu = e^{-ikz}\psi$ and  $g_k$  is fund. soln. for  $-\Delta - 4ik\bar{\partial}$ 

$$\Lambda_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \psi(z, k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}(k) \longrightarrow \mu(z, k) \longrightarrow \sigma(z)$$

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]

Change of variables:  

$$q(z) = \Delta \sqrt{\sigma(z)} / \sqrt{\sigma(z)}, \quad \psi(z) = \sqrt{\sigma(z)} u(z)$$

Schrödinger Eqn: 
$$(-\Delta + q)\psi = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]

$$\mathbf{t}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\bar{k}\bar{z}}q(z)\psi(z,k)dz$$
Nonlinear Fourier transform of  $q$ 

$$\bigwedge_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \psi(z,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}(k) \longrightarrow \mu(z,k) \longrightarrow \sigma(z)$$

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]

$$\mathbf{t}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\bar{k}\bar{z}}q(z)\psi(z,k)dz$$
Nonlinear Fourier transform of  $q$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\bigwedge}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \psi(z,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}(k) \longrightarrow \mu(z,k) \longrightarrow \sigma(z)$$

$$\mathbf{t}(k) = \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{i\bar{k}\bar{z}}(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{1})\psi(z,k)ds(z)$$
Relates  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  to  $\psi$ 

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]



#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □ のへで

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Equations of the D-bar Method based on [N, 1996]



#### Scattering transform encodes data non-intuitively



Source: Michael Capps, PhD thesis, Colorado State University, 2019

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### Convolutional neural network

Question: Could machine learning be used in the characterization of the scattering transform to identify where the boundaries are?

## Convolutional neural network

Question: Could machine learning be used in the characterization of the scattering transform to identify where the boundaries are?

A convolutional neural network was trained on 200,000 scattering transforms computed from numerically simulated data sets.

Two "base" sets of internal boundaries were used:





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

## Convolutional neural network

Question: Could machine learning be used in the characterization of the scattering transform to identify where the boundaries are?

A convolutional neural network was trained on 200,000 scattering transforms computed from numerically simulated data sets.

Two "base" sets of internal boundaries were used:



Network input: Two-channel greyscale images: one channel for  $\Re(\mathbf{t}(k))$  and one channel for  $\Im(\mathbf{t}(k))$ .

Network output: a vector of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  corresponding to points on the boundaries of internal structures.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

## Training data

The following parameters in each numerically simulated data set were randomized:

- Boundary scale (55%-105%)
- Conductivities of each organ (± 50% from base conductivities of 2 S/m and 0.5 S/m for the heart and lungs)
- Truncation radius ( $4 \le R \le 8$ )
- Simulated organ injuries (25% chance of having the bottom 20%-70% of the organ removed)
- Mollification factor

t(k) was evaluated on a 16  $\times$  16 grid and the internal boundaries were sampled at 52 points.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Results on simulated data

The network was applied to 50 examples of scattering transforms not used in training, some corresponding to multiple lung injuries:



Blue: true boundaries, Red: Network predicted boundaries

Source: Michael Capps, PhD thesis, Colorado State University, 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Results on tank data

Agar "heart" 238 mS/m and agar "lungs" 136 mS/m were placed in saline 190 mS/m in a 32 electrode tank

Data was collected at 125 kHz with current amplitude 3.3 mA



Blue: true boundaries, Red: Network predicted boundaries

Source: Michael Capps, PhD thesis, Colorado State University, 2019

#### **Cystic Fibrosis**

CF is a genetic disease characterized by lung congestion and infection and malabsorption of nutrients by the pancreas

#### Health Problems with Cystic Fibrosis



#### **Cystic Fibrosis**

The lungs exhibit air trapping and consolidation due to mucus plugging and airway thickening



(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

#### An A Priori D-bar Algorithm

Define a piecewise scattering transform to use in place of the usual t(k):

$$\mathbf{t}_{R_1,R_2}(k) := egin{cases} \mathbf{t}(k), & |k| \leq R_1 \ \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{pr}}(k), & R_1 < |k| \leq R_2 \ 0, & |k| > R_2 \end{cases}$$

To compute  $\mathbf{t}_{pr}$ , we use the definition:

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{pr}}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i ar{k} ar{z}} q_{\mathrm{pr}}(z) \psi_{\mathrm{pr}}(z,k) dz,$$

This avoids having to numerically simulate data using FEM.

Solve the constrained nonlinear optimization problem

$$\underset{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} \quad F(\mathbf{c}) := \|\mathbf{t}_{\text{pr}}^{\text{vec}}(\mathbf{c}) - \mathbf{t}^{\text{vec}}\|_2^2, \text{ subject to } \ell_i \leq c_i \leq u_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n$$

## D-bar method with a prior

#### Three time snapshots during exhalation of a CF subject:



Peak inhalation



partial exhalation



further exhalation

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

æ

## D-bar method with a prior

#### Three time snapshots during exhalation of a CF subject:



Peak inhalation



partial exhalation



further exhalation



Inspiratory CT scan



Expiratory CT scan

Alsaker, M., Murthy, J. of Comp. and Appl. Math. 362, 2019

## D-bar method with a prior

#### The dynamic prior:











▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

## D-bar method with a prior

#### The dynamic prior:



Alsaker, M., Murthy, J. of Comp. and Appl. Math. 362, 2019

## Air-trapping findings

- $\bullet$  EIT-derived ventilation-perfusion  $\dot{V}/\dot{Q}$  index maps were computed from three subjects at Children's Hospital Colorado
- Subjects A and B were cystic fibrosis patients with significant air trapping (AT) in their lungs. Subject B exhibited the most AT. The radiologist reported "extensive regions of air trapping, regional to the lung areas affected by the bronchial and alveolar plugging which appears to be approximately 50% of both lungs."
- Dark blue regions in the EIT V/Q index maps represent regions well-perfused but poorly ventilated (AT)
- Global and regional V/Q indices are computed by summing over the ROI

Subject	$\dot{V}/\dot{Q}$ global	V∕Q left lung	$\dot{V}/\dot{Q}$ right lung
Healthy Control	0.4625	0.4870	0.4172
CF Subject A	0.3377	0.1999	0.4209
CF Subject B	0.1024	0.0665	0.1148

#### DICOM orientation (left lung on viewer's right)



M., Muller, Mellenthin, Murthy, Capps, Alsaker, Deterding, Sagel, DeBoer, Phys. Meas., 39 2018 = , 4 = , 5 = - , 0 a

#### Conclusions

What does the future of EIT hold?

- Need to address challenges such as partial boundary data
- 3-D algorithms are needed for accurate volume computations
- Clinical studies must be performed with the best hardware and software
- Priors will surely be part of clinical algorithms
- It will be filling the niches that CT and MRI cannot fill

(日)

## Thank you!!

